Nashville Area MPO		Project Name:	
Project Scoring - Regional Priority List		TIP:	
		LRTP:	
		Project Sponsor:	
		Evaluation Date:	
		Evaluator(s):	
CANDIDATE #		MPO Director:	

Criteria	Possible Points	1=YES, 0=NO	Points Awarded	Example
Congestion Management	15		0	
Corridor has 2004 Congestion	10		0	2004 congestion based on MPO 2004 travel time study, or level of service as established by local studies
Corridor is projected to have congestion in 2016	5		0	2016 congestion based on travel demand model
Safety	12		0	
Project addresses a high accident location	4		0	Based on identified high accident locations
Project addresses a geometric deficiency	2		0	Ex.: vertical and horizontal curve alignment, grade separation, etc.
Project provides safety for bicyclists and pedestrians	2		0	Ex.: widens existing bike lane or sidewalk
Project reduces modal conflict	2		0	Ex.: separation of bike lanes or sidewalks from vehicular travel lane, Railroad crossing grade separation
Project addresses security/ emergency responsiveness	2		0	
Operations and Maintenance	9		0	
Project addresses reconstruction	3		0	Ex.: Brings substandard road up to current standards
Project addresses major maintenance	2		0	Ex.: Repair or Rehabilitate
Project utilizes Intelligent Transportation Systems	2		0	Ex.: CCTV for traffic monitoring, emergency vehicle or transit preemption/priority
Project improves traffic operations	2		0	Ex.: Traffic signal optimization/synchronization, intersection improvements
Alternative Modes	9		0	
Project provides a transit improvement	5		0	Ex.: new bus route, improves upon existing transit route, provides connection to transit station
Project provides a bicycle or pedestrian facility	4		0	Ex.: new bicycle lane, new sidewalk
Air Quality Improvement	6		0	
Project reduces the number of vehicle trips or trip length	6		0	Ex.: New HOV lane, van pool, transit, bike+ped, commuter-assistance options
Freight and Goods Movement	6		0	
Improves the efficiency or safety of freight movement	6		0	Based on data from Regional Freight and Goods Movement Study
Consistency with Plans	3		0	
Regional ITS Architecture Plan	1		0	
Local/ Regional Transit Plans	1		0	
Strategic Highway Safety Plan	1		0	
Local Importance	15		0	
Top local priority	10		0	Based on local plans/ input from local agencies
Local funds available	5		0	Based on local capital budgets or programs/ input from local agencies
Ready to Go	15		0	
Project is in the current TIP	10		0	Based on current TIP
Project is in the current LRTP	3		0	Based on current LRTP
Project is on the federal-aid system	2		0	Based on TDOT records
Funding Support	10		0	
Project has funding support from TDOT	5		0	Based on current TIP
Project has MPO funds	5		0	Based on current TIP
TOTAL POINTS	100		0	